

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji – Goa

CORAM: Shri Juino De Souza: State Information Commissioner

Appeal No.257/2018/SIC-II

Ana Francisca Rodrigues,
R/o. H.No.1749,
Vasvaddo, Benaulim,
Salcete-Goa.

.... Appellant

v/s

1. The Public Information Officer,
O/o Dy. Collector-I of Salcete,
Mathany Saldanha Administrative
Complex, Margao, Salcete-Goa.
2. First Appellate Authority,
O/o the Addl. Collector-I of South Goa
Mathany Saldanha Administrative
Complex, Margao, Salcete-Goa.

..... Respondents

Relevant emerging dates:

Date of Hearing : 07-02-2019

Date of Decision : 07-02-2019

O R D E R

1. Brief facts of the case are that the Appellant Ana Francisca Rodrigues vide an RTI Application dated 11/05/2018 addressed to the PIO, O/o Dy. Deputy Collector & SDO, Margao –Goa sought inspection regarding case partition case No.LRC/PART/790/2002 dated 28/04/2005. It is the case of the Appellant since the Respondent PIO failed to provide inspection, a First Appeal was filed on 07/07/2018 and the First Appellate Authority (FAA) vide an Order dated 24/07/2018 directed the Respondent PIO to provide the Appellant inspection of the file on 17/08/2018 and disposed off the said First Appeal.
2. The Appellant thereafter finding that the PIO has not complied with the order of the First Appellate Authority dated 24/07/2018 and has not provided inspection, subsequently filed a Second Appeal before the Commission registered on 01/11/2018 and has prayed that direction be given to the Respondent PIO to give inspection of the partition case No.LRC/PART/790/2002 dated 28/04/2005 as per the RTI application dated 11/05/2018 and for penalty, disciplinary action and other reliefs.

...2

3. During the hearing the Appellant Ana Francisca Rodrigues is represented by Advocate Joel Mendes. Shri Abhishek Naik, Awal Karkun O/o Dy. Collector & S.D.O. Margao is present on behalf of Respondent No. 1. The FAA is absent.
4. At the outset the Advocate Joel Mendes for the Appellant submits that after filing of the Second Appeal in the Commission, the Respondent PIO has given complete inspection of the partition case No.LRC/PART/790/2002 dated 28/04/2005 as per the RTI application dated 11/05/2018 and that he is satisfied with the inspection provided and as such does not want pursue the Second Appeal Case any further and request the Commission to dispose off the Appeal case.
5. The Commission finds that as the inspection of the partition case No.LRC/PART/790/2002 dated 28/04/2005 as per the RTI application dated 11/05/2018 has been given by the PIO and the Appellant is satisfied with the same and further in view that the Appellant does not wish to pursue the appeal case **accordingly nothing survives in the Appeal case which accordingly stand disposed.**

Consequently, the other reliefs sought of penalty, disciplinary action stand rejected.

All proceedings in Appeal case stands closed. Pronounced before the parties who are present at the conclusion of the hearing. Notify the parties concerned. Authenticated copies of the order be given free of cost.

Sd/-
(Juino De Souza)
State Information Commissioner

